

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,723	09/19/2000	Hiroshi Ishiwata	PM273954	5216
909	7590 03/14/2003			
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 105 MCLEAN, V	•		TURNER, SAMUEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,		09/664,723	ISHIWATA ET AL.	/
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Samuel A. Turner	2877	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	,,	•	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)□		— · is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is	<b>:</b>
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under			,
·	ion of Claims			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or con Papers	r election requirement.		
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) 🔲 🦰	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exar	miner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-		
·	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional applicatio	n).
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •		
Attachment	t(s)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tr	rademark Office			

-2-**Art Unit:** 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 3, 4, and 8-11 the phrase "operated through a process for photographing..." is indefinite because the limitation is functional and the element(s) for performing the operation are not defined.

Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2173.05(1). The omitted elements are: any element which performs the function of the phrase "wherein said optical apparatus is operated through a process for performing...". Claims 1-7 provide for a processing unit.

Serial Number: 09/664,723

**Art Unit:** 

2877


## Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tabata(5,420,717).



Serial Number: <sup>09/</sup>664,723

Art Unit: 2877

With regard to claims 8-11; the light source limitation is met by light source(17), the illumination optical system limitation is met by lens(3), the imaging optical system limitation is met by lens(6), the least one polarizing member limitation is met by Wollaston prism(2), the adjusting member limitation is met by adjustable half-wave plate(19), and the image pick-up member limitation is met by CCD array(9). The phrases "operated through a process for photographing..." and "wherein said optical apparatus is operated through a process for performing..." are functional and do not further limit the claimed apparatus.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Dubois et al(Optics Letters). See figure 1.

With regard to claim 12; the light source limitation is met by the LED source; the illumination optical path limitation is met by the spherical lens, beam-splitter, and objective lens of the measurement path; the reference optical path limitation is met by the spherical lens, beam-splitter, and objective lens, and reference mirror of the reference path; and the image pick-up member limitation is met by the CCD camera. The phrase "wherein said optical apparatus is operated through a process for performing..." is functional and does not further limit the claimed apparatus.

Art Unit: 2877

## Rejections Under Double Patenting

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousnesstype or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

-5-

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 17-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,369,375. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because while the claims of the "wherein said optical apparatus is operated through a process for performing..." are functional and do not further limit the claimed apparatus. 6,369,375 are broader in scope they include the same processing equations.

Serial Number: 09/664,723

Art Unit:

2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Turner those telephone number is **(703) 308-4803**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font, can be reached on (703) 308-4881.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722. The faxing of papers related to this application must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (15 November 1989). The Group receptionist telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Any inquiry of a technical nature regarding reissues, petitions, and terminal disclaimers should be directed to Ed Glick whose telephone number is (703) 308-4858, Hien Phan whose telephone number is (703) 308-7502, or Ed Westin whose telephone number is (703) 308-4823.

Any other inquiry of a technical nature, and all inquiries of a general nature including those relating to the status of this application or any patent term adjustment should be directed to TC2800 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Samuel A. Turner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

SAT March 6, 2003